potential for a three-body system which has the virtue
that it does not involve the Feshbach projection opera-
tors\(^{\ast}\) used in the Sugar-Blankenbecler formalism; these
projection operators are in fact quite difficult to con-
struct in general. Variational lower bounds on the phase
shifts for neutron-deuteron scattering below the breakup
threshold have already been obtained.\(^{\ast}\) We hope to
extend this calculation to obtain upper bounds as well.

---
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Final results on the first satellite experiment on abundances of cosmic-ray nuclei having a mean energy of several GeV per nucleon are presented. A nuclear-emulsion detector, exposed on Gemini XI in a near-equatorial orbit ranging between geographic latitudes \(\pm 29^\circ\), collected 619 high-quality tracks above the earth's atmosphere. Time resolution (within about 5 min) was provided by movement of a lower emulsion stack relative to an upper one. The detector was covered by only 0.07 g/cm\(^2\) of aluminum and was favorably oriented for 18 h. The results on abundances, requiring no correction for secondary production in the atmosphere, are characterized by (a) a pronounced odd-even effect, with low abundances for elements of atomic number 7, 9, 11, and 13, compared to those of neighboring elements with even \(Z\); (b) approximately equal fluxes of neon, magnesium, and silicon, each being about one-fourth that of oxygen; and (c) an abundance gap in the region \(15 \leq Z \leq 19\). The observed ratios of \(\text{Be}+\text{B}\), \(10 \leq Z \leq 19\), and \(Z \geq 20\) to the medium group, \(6 \leq Z \leq 9\), provide no evidence for significant variation of composition with rigidity between 3.5 and 30 GeV. A primordial composition—prior to interactions of the cosmic rays with the interstellar medium—is calculated. This source composition is compared with "universal" and solar abundances.

---

**I. INTRODUCTION**

A KNOWLEDGE of the composition of the heavy nuclei in the galactic cosmic radiation is useful in shedding light on the nature of cosmic-ray sources, on the interactions of cosmic rays in the tenuous interstellar gas, and on the amount of material traversed before escaping the galaxy. In previous work at high energies (\(\geq 1\) GeV/nucleon), the experiments were carried out with balloons, but even in good high-altitude flights one had to correct through about 0.1 or 0.2 mean free paths of atmosphere to deduce the original composition. These corrections (as well as the ascent correction) involve uncertainties due to insufficient knowledge of fragmentation cross sections in nucleus-nucleus collisions. While the correction is not serious for elements that are abundant in cosmic-ray sources (such as C, O, Ne, Mg, Si, and Fe), it affects the data of the abundances of other elements to an appreciable degree.

Also, most radioactive isotopes produced in collisions with air nuclei will be recorded as such rather than as the final decay product; e.g., \(^{11}\text{C}\) will be recorded as carbon rather than boron, whereas for collisions with interstellar gas the opposite is the case. Hence, an experiment above the atmosphere can yield more accurate information on the abundances of Be, B, N, and high-charged odd-\(Z\) elements, particularly if the area-time factor for the collection of tracks is adequate.

The Gemini XI emulsion experiment is the first flight above the atmosphere and on the exterior of a spacecraft, with a sufficient time-area factor to explore some of the above-discussed relative abundances of elements at energies \(\geq 1\) GeV/nucleon, and to verify previous assumptions regarding partial-fragmentation cross sections in air. The orientation of the spacecraft and the location of apogees in the high-altitude orbits were optimized to reduce the detrimental effects of the slow Van Allen-belt protons on the scan for the heavy primary nuclei. Even under these circumstances, detection of the lightly ionizing lithium nuclei was prohibitively difficult, and the efficiency for beryllium was low. Time resolution for entrance of tracks was provided in order to eliminate particles arriving at times of poor spacecraft orientation, and to provide crude energy estimates from values of cutoff rigidities.

---

**II. EXPERIMENT**

The Gemini missions offered one of the first opportunities to study the cosmic radiation above the earth's
atmosphere using the nuclear-emulsion technique. Previous space experiments were placed inside space- 
craft where the amount of intervening matter of several
g/cm² was much more than is present on balloon 
flights. However, there were other constraints, including 
size, weight, a variable orientation, limited exposure 
time, and the need for temperature control. Since there 
were periods of the flight when the apparatus might be 
pointing toward the earth and a period in the latter 
portion of the flight when the detector would be inside 
the spacecraft, it was necessary to know when a given 
particle entered. There are several ways of achieving 
time resolution with nuclear emulsion despite the fact 
that it is a continuously integrating detector. The 
method used in this experiment was the slow movement 
of one block of emulsions with respect to another; 
this procedure will be described in detail later in this 
section. The other principal problem was recovery of 
the emulsion from its external location after exposure. 
To accomplish this, the experimental package was 
retrieved by astronaut Richard F. Gordon, Jr., during 
the period that he was outside the spacecraft, and was 
placed inside the cabin where it remained for the rest of 
the flight. In the following paragraphs, a general 
description of the detector system is given, together 
with a discussion of the methods used to solve the 
problems which had to be overcome.

The entire experiment was contained in a metal box 
approximately 3.0×8.5 in. laterally and 6.0 in. deep; 
the shape was determined primarily by the size and 
shape of the available space. The upper surface of 
the box had a 0.010-in. aluminum window so that there 
would be a minimum amount of material between the 
emulsions and ambient radiation consistent with a 
light-tight pressure seal, which also kept the nuclear 
emulsions at a known humidity level. The upper stack 
of nuclear emulsions was ½ in. deep and consisted of 
91 Ilford K.5 emulsions, all but two of which were 
600 μ thick. The remaining two were 200 μ thick. K.5 
emulsions were selected so that minimum ionizing tracks 
left by particles coming from interactions could be seen. 
Below this shallow stack was a 2.25-in.-deep stack 
which was 2 in. shorter than the upper one and could 
move with respect to it when driven by a motor. 
The position of these two sets of nuclear emulsions is 
shown in Fig. 1. In the lower stack, with the exception 
of three 200-μ plates, all nuclear emulsions were 600 μ 
thick; however, in this case, they were of various 
sensitivities to aid in the charge identification of 
the particles. In general, the stack was assembled in a 
repeating sequence of emulsion types, which was as 
follows: K.2, K.5, K.2, G.0, K.2.

The lower stack had a total travel length of 2.0 in. 
To conserve power, it was moved by a motor in 
increments of 0.001 in. rather than continuously. This 
stepped motion was of no disadvantage to the experi- 
bent, because 0.001 in. is less than the minimum 
resolvable displacement. When the experiment was in 
its normal mode of operation, the lower stack was advanced 
once every 60 sec, so that the total 2.0-in. travel could 
be accomplished in 33½ h. A backup mode, which was 
not used in flight, allowed the stack to be advanced in 
0.008-in. increments at times at least 10 sec apart, by 
activating a switch in the astronaut’s cabin. The time 
of each advancement of the stack was recorded, stored 
on tape, and later transmitted to the ground-data 
acquisition system. A detailed description of the 
mechanical system is given elsewhere.

Several unique problems arose in connection with 
the experiment. One was the need to keep the nuclear 
emulsions within tolerable temperature limits. This 
was accomplished by circulating the coolant of the 
spacecraft temperature-control system through plates making 
up the walls of the external well in which the nuclear-
emulsion experiment was placed and by covering the 
upper surface of the metal box with a thin thermally 
reflective coating. There was the further problem of 
high temperature during launch, which was solved by 
placing a thermal shield over the nuclear-emulsion 
detector system during the launch phase. This cover 
was blown off after orbit was achieved.

In addition to the considerations mentioned earlier 
concerning the thin window above the emulsion, the 
possibility of puncture by micrometeorites was also 
considered. For the area and thickness used and a 
two-day exposure in space, the probability of a puncture 
was of the order of 3×10⁻⁴ or less.

The first opportunity to conduct the experiment was 
provided on the flight of Gemini VIII, launched in 
March, 1966. Malfunction of a spacecraft component 
led to an emergency requiring premature termination 
of this mission, and the experimental package was lost. 
The first successful exposure of the detector to the 
Cosmic radiation was provided on the Gemini XI 
mission.

At approximately 55 h prior to launch, the package 
was installed in its temperature-controlled well aboard 
the spacecraft and telemetry checks were made to 
verify correct installation. The spacecraft was launched 
at 08:42:23 E.D.T. [00:00:00 G.E.T. (ground elapsed 
time)] on August 12, 1966, with astronauts Charles
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Conrad and Richard Gordon. Movement of the lower stack of emulsion was initiated by an astronaut at 01:42:29 G.E.T. During the period of data collection, telemetered information confirmed that the equipment was functioning and that its temperature was being properly controlled.

The spacecraft was oriented so that the emulsion layers were nearly vertical for as much of the time as would be commensurate with other operational requirements during the data-collection period. In addition, whenever the spacecraft passed through the South Atlantic Anomaly region, the astronauts oriented it with its blunt end forward, thereby placing the detector window nearly perpendicular to the local magnetic field line in order to minimize the background effect produced by tracks of protons mirroring along magnetic field lines. At 24:05:50 G.E.T. the package was removed from its external well and stowed in the command module of the spacecraft. During the remaining two days of the mission, the package was partially shielded from Van Allen particles by the spacecraft. Tracks made by heavy primary nuclei arriving during this period could be eliminated from the analysis because they would produce a large peak at the same displacement between their positions in the upper and lower nuclear-emulsion stacks. After reentry and recovery of the spacecraft, the package was removed from the spacecraft and flown to Patrick Air Force Base, Fla., where it was returned to project scientists.

Trial processings were carried out on selected exposed emulsions in order to determine an optimum procedure for minimizing the obscuration produced by background tracks. The stacks were then processed by a technique involving temperature cycling and a liquid warm stage.

III. DATA REDUCTION

A. Scanning and Track Matching

The stack was equally divided between the NRL and GSFC groups for purposes of scanning and data reduction. Although there were some differences in the techniques employed by the two laboratories, the general procedures used were as follows.

The K.2 and K.5 pellicles in the lower stack were scanned along a line 5 mm below the upper edge of the stack for particle tracks satisfying the following geometrical and ionization restrictions:
(1) Projected track length per plate $\geq 2$ mm.
(2) Projected angle with the normal to the collection edge $\leq 60^\circ$.
(3) Ionization $\geq 9$ times minimum.

(With the last criterion, a large fraction of the low-energy protons could be excluded from the initial scan.) Coordinates at three or more points along each track that did not end in the scan plate were recorded using three-coordinate digitized microscopes. Singly charged background tracks included in this collection were eliminated on the basis of multiple-scattering measurements and track following through the lower stack. The remaining tracks whose ionization and path length in emulsion indicated that they were made by heavy nuclei were projected upward to a scan line 4 mm above the lower edge of the upper stack. The predicted locations along this line were used as a guide to locate the matching track segments in the upper stack.

The displacement of a track in the lower stack from the position it would have had if the stack had not moved is a measure of the time of arrival of the particle which made the track. Figure 2 is a plot of the distribution of the measured tracks as a function of displacement and hence ground elapsed time. The small peak at the left shows the accumulation of tracks prior to actuation of stack motion. The more pronounced peak at the right represents the tracks accumulated after cessation of stack motion. The shape of this peak was used to evaluate the uncertainty in arrival time of a particle. This uncertainty is $\pm 5$ min, which is equivalent to 125 $\mu$ of displacement.

Tracks accumulated between the peaks in Fig. 2 were followed for their entire length in the emulsion; those which interacted above the scan line in the lower plate were rejected. Interactions below the scan line were examined and in all cases were consistent with fragmentation of a downward-moving primary.

Slightly over 20% of the plates were rescanned to determine scanning efficiencies. Since all heavy nuclei recorded in the rescan could be used for this purpose, independent of entry time, the total number of tracks involved was 397 or about 64% as large as the final data sample. The over-all scanning efficiency was found to be 95%. The efficiency was a function of particle charge and varied from 77% for Be nuclei to 98% for heavy nuclei [see Table I (A)].

![Fig. 2. Distribution of arrival times of heavy primary nuclei. (The peak at 24:00 has been reduced by the factor 2.5.)](image)
B. Charge Analysis

Particle charge estimates were based on δ-ray measurements in K.5 emulsion and grain-density measurements in the less sensitive K.2 and G.0 emulsions. Two or more independent charge determinations were made on most of the tracks. The final charge assigned to each particle was a suitably weighted average of the values deduced in the different emulsion types. The observed charge distribution is shown in Fig. 3. The plot includes only those tracks meeting the arrival direction criteria explained in Sec. III C.

The low abundances of the elements F, Na, and Al make their resolution from neighboring elements difficult. In addition, the experimental errors associated with the charge measurements in this region do not permit unambiguous resolution of individual charges. Therefore, the abundances of F, Na, and Al are shown as upper limits in Table I and Fig. 4; their actual abundances are certainly smaller than these limits.

C. Correction for Spacecraft Orientation

Since the spacecraft was not always oriented so that the nuclear emulsion stack was facing upward, it was necessary to determine the arrival direction of each particle in the earth’s coordinate system from a knowledge of its arrival time, its angle in the emulsion, the spacecraft orientation, and the spacecraft position.9

---

9 The method by which these directions were determined is detailed in the Appendix to Goddard document X-611-69-427 (unpublished), available upon request.
and zenith angles is then calculated using the angular dependence of the cutoff valid for a dipole and normalizing to the vertical cutoff rigidity discussed above. The cutoff rigidity is then

$$R = \frac{15.96}{L^{2.006}} \left( \frac{2}{1 + \left(1 - L^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sin \theta \sin \omega' \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right)^{2},$$

where $\omega'$ is the azimuthal angle in the horizontal plane expressed in magnetic coordinates and $\theta$ is the zenith angle. (The $\cos^3 \lambda$ normally appearing in the expression for the angular dependence of the dipole threshold rigidity was replaced by $L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to be consistent with the vertical rigidity cutoff calculation.)

To calculate the expected number of particles as a function of cutoff rigidity, the solid angle of observation was divided into 48 intervals, and the cutoff rigidity was calculated for each interval for every 2 min during the exposure period. The previously measured cosmic-ray flux above each calculated cutoff rigidity was then spread over the neighboring time intervals in accordance with the time-of-arrival error distribution. The flux thus accumulated in a given solid-angle, time-interval bin was assigned the cutoff rigidity appropriate to that bin and an integration (summation) over time and solid angle was performed to obtain the number of tracks expected as a function of cutoff rigidity. This distribution was then compared with the cutoff rigidity distribution obtained from the observed tracks using the most probable arrival time to define the cutoff rigidity of each track. A test of the goodness of fit gave a $\chi^2$ value divided by the number of degrees of freedom (5) of 1.33. If $\cos^3 \lambda$ is used in Eq. (2) instead of $L^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, the $\chi^2$ value divided by the number of degrees of freedom becomes 2.85, and, if a dipole approximation is used for $R_e$ as well, this parameter becomes 9.50.

**IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. Charge Composition**

The relative charge composition obtained in this work is shown in Fig. 4. The plotted values have been corrected for scanning efficiency and for fragmentation loss in the emulsion above the scan line. [See Table I (A).] The maximum fragmentation correction amounts to 11% for the ratio of nuclei having $Z \geq 20$ to oxygen. The final sample excluded particles that had interacted above the scan line. Hence, in correcting for collision losses in emulsion, it was unnecessary to employ

---

10 D. F. Smart and M. A. Shea, J. Geophys. Res. 72, 3447 (1967).
fragmentation parameters, which are rather uncertain; only the relatively well-known absorption mean free paths in emulsion were needed. Also obviated were the uncertain corrections for secondary production in air that are required in balloon flights.

Errors shown in Fig. 4 reflect errors in the determination of the scanning efficiency as well as statistical errors. The results shown are strikingly similar to other measurements of comparable accuracy above the atmosphere, even though the latter measurements are at much lower energy. Taken together with recent measurements at balloon altitudes at various energies, it is apparent that any variation in the composition with energy is not large.

Since it is possible to assign a cutoff rigidity to each particle based upon its arrival time and direction, it is also possible to examine the composition as a function of cutoff rigidity in the high-rigidity region studied in this experiment. For this purpose we have divided the data into cutoff-rigidity intervals as shown in Table II. The error in the cutoff rigidity assigned to a particle is typically 15% and is due primarily to uncertainties in arrival time.

Although the statistical weight of the results is limited, it is clear from Table II that the results are consistent with no variation in the composition over the region of observation from 3.5 to about 30 GV.

### B. Source Composition

It is generally assumed that the Li, Be, and B nuclei observed in the cosmic radiation are fragments of heavier nuclei which have had nuclear interactions with interstellar matter. The abundance of light nuclei can then be used to estimate the amount of material traversed by the cosmic radiation in transit from source to earth. The composition at the source can be deduced from this estimate and the relative abundances observed near the earth. Methods of calculating the source abundances have been discussed in detail by Beck and Shapiro.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rigidity</th>
<th>3.5-8 GV/c</th>
<th>8-12 GV/c</th>
<th>&gt;12 GV/c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Be,B)/(C,N,O,F)</td>
<td>0.177±0.042</td>
<td>0.141±0.032</td>
<td>0.112±0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10&lt;Z&lt;19)/(C,N,O,F)</td>
<td>0.334±0.008</td>
<td>0.469±0.073</td>
<td>0.356±0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z&gt;20)/(C,N,O,F)</td>
<td>0.071±0.027</td>
<td>0.116±0.029</td>
<td>0.071±0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yiou and by Shapiro et al., and the discussion will not be repeated here. Fichtel and Reames, after considering various distributions of path length, found that the source composition deduced at high energies does not differ markedly from that deduced by assuming a single potential path length. Iron is exceptional in this respect, but in the present work it is treated as part of the group 20<Z<28. Therefore, the treatment here will be simplified by use of the so-called "slab approximation."

In high-energy nuclear interactions, the daughter particles nearly retain the original velocity of the parent; hence the results of the calculations are generally in terms of the same energy/nucleon interval. To compare our experimental data with the calculations, the ratios must be converted from the same rigidity interval to the same energy/nucleon interval. Results of this conversion are shown in Table I (B).

Extrapolating back to the source using the fragmentation parameters summarized by Fichtel and Reames and Shapiro and Silberberg and up-dated to include the results of Yiou, we obtain the source composition shown in column 2 of Table III. We find that an average path length of 3.9±0.6 g/cm² is required for an absence of Be and B at the source.

The composition of the cosmic rays at the source is remarkably different from the solar~ or universal composition. The heavier nuclei are enriched, and carbon is more plentiful relative to oxygen than it is.

---

in the universe or the sun. As noted previously, nitrogen is relatively scarce in the source region.

It is generally thought that the chemical composition of the cosmic rays reflects that of their source, since most acceleration mechanisms would predict no preferential acceleration of heavier nuclei. It is difficult to conceive of a reasonable acceleration mechanism which would, on the one hand, enhance the heavier nuclei relative to oxygen, while on the other, enhancing carbon on the other side of the charge spectrum from oxygen and, at the same time, suppressing the nitrogen abundance relative to both carbon and oxygen. Thus, it seems reasonable to consider the cosmic-ray source composition as truly unique. For the solar energetic particles emitted from flares, it has been established that the composition of the arriving particles reflects that of the source, as deduced from the optical spectrum of the solar photosphere.

A more extensive treatment of the cosmic-ray source composition, based mainly on balloon-flight data available prior to this experiment, and using an exponential distribution of path lengths, has been given by Shapiro, Silberberg, and Tsao.
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\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Cosmic rays} & \textbf{Cosmic rays} & \textbf{"Universe"} & \textbf{Solar energetic} & \textbf{Sun} \\
\textbf{(Gemini X)} & \textbf{at source} & & \textbf{particles} & \textbf{particles} \\
\hline
Be & 0.06±0.03 & 0 & 2.9\times10^{-4} & <0.02 & <10^{-5} \\
B & 0.28±0.05 & 0 & 2.6\times10^{-7} & <0.02 & <10^{-6} \\
C & 1.09±0.08 & 0.89 & 0.57 & 0.59±0.07 & 0.60±0.10 \\
N & 0.25±0.04 & 0.03 & 0.10 & 0.19±0.04 & 0.15±0.05 \\
O & 1.00±0.08 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 & 1.00 \\
F & ≤0.04 & 0 & 1.5\times10^{-4} & <0.03 & <0.001 (?) \\
Ne & 0.24±0.04 & 0.19 & 0.10 & 0.13±0.02 & 0.1 (?) \\
Na & ≤0.03 & 0 & 2.7\times10^{-4} & ? & 0.002 \\
Mg & 0.26±0.04 & 0.25 & 0.04 & 0.042±0.011 & 0.051±0.015 \\
Al & ≤0.06 & ≤0.03 & 3.6\times10^{-2} & ? & 0.002 \\
Si & 0.24±0.04 & 0.33 & 0.04 & 0.09 & 0.045 \\
P-K & 0.10±0.03 & 0.04 & 0.03 & ≤0.02 & 0.04 (?) \\
Ca-Ni & 0.18±0.03 & 0.24 & 0.04 & 0.01±0.003 & 0.01 (?) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\textsuperscript{a} Compared at the same energies per nucleon.

\textsuperscript{b} Extrapolated through 4 g/cm\(^2\) to source. The errors associated with the numbers in this column are typically ≈20%. However, N and the P-K group are uncertain by a factor of ≈2.

\textsuperscript{4} Assuming Ar to be about half as abundant as S, and the abundance of Cl to be small compared to S.